

Mark L. Smith (NV Bar #14762)  
 msmith@sffirm.com  
 Jacob L. Fannesbeck (NV Bar #11961)  
 jfannesbeck@sffirm.com  
**SF FIRM, LLP**  
 6345 South Pecos Road, Suite 202  
 Las Vegas, NV 89120  
 Telephone: (725) 666-8701  
 Facsimile: (725) 666-8710

Aaron D. Lebenta (UT Bar # 10180)  
 adl@clydesnow.com  
 Timothy R. Pack (UT Bar # 12193)  
 trp@clydesnow.com  
**CLYDE SNOW & SESSIONS, PC**  
 201 South Main Street, Suite 2200  
 Salt Lake City, UT 84111  
 Telephone/Facsimile: (801) 322-2516

*Attorneys for Defendants*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TETSUYA NAKAMURA, an individual,  
  
 Plaintiff,  
  
 v.  
  
 SUNDAY GROUP INCORPORATED, a  
 Delaware corporation, *et al.*,  
  
 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:22-cv-01324-MMD-EJY

**AMENDED STIPULATION AND  
~~[PROPOSED]~~ SCHEDULING ORDER  
 (Fourth Request)**

Plaintiff Tetsuya Nakamura and Defendants Sunday Group Incorporated, SGI Trust, Toshiki (Todd) Mitsuishi and James Pack (collectively, “Defendants”) (collectively sometimes the “Parties”), by and through counsel, hereby submit this amended stipulation and proposed scheduling order (“Amended Stipulation”) seeking a fourth extension to the discovery deadlines. This Amended Stipulation and proposed scheduling order is being submitted to supplement the Parties’ stipulation and proposed scheduling order submitted December 2, 2024 (ECF No. 93), and to provide additional information in response to the Court’s minute order entry on December 2, 2024 (ECF No. 94), denying without prejudice the Parties’ initial stipulation and proposed scheduling order (ECF No. 93), on the basis that it failed to satisfy the good cause standard of LR 26-3 or clearly identify the discovery that has been completed and remains to be completed.

1 WHEREAS, as it stands, fact discovery must be completed by December 2, 2024, initial  
2 expert disclosures are due by December 30, 2024, rebuttal expert disclosures are due by January  
3 30, 2025, the last day for filing dispositive motions is March 3, 2025, and a joint pretrial order is  
4 due by March 31, 2025, unless dispositive motions are pending (ECF No. 78).

5 WHEREAS, the Parties have been diligently engaged in fact discovery. However,  
6 discovery in this matter has been complicated by a number of factors, including: (1) the scope of  
7 the claims and counterclaims, several of which are subject to Japanese law, which has added to  
8 the complexity of the matter and to discovery in regards to determining which documents and  
9 other information are or may be relevant to the elements of the claims and defenses under  
10 Japanese law; (2) the number of potentially responsive documents; (3) the existence of a number  
11 of documents, including communications, in a foreign language (Japanese); and (4) because  
12 several potential witnesses are located in Japan, which has presented logistical challenges for  
13 determining how to contact potential witnesses and how to secure their participation.

14 WHEREAS, to date, the Parties have engaged in and completed the following discovery  
15 tasks:

- 16 • The Parties have each served initial disclosures and initial disclosure documents.
- 17 • The Parties have served written discovery requests, consisting of interrogatories  
18 and requests for production of documents.
- 19 • Subject to their objections, the Parties' have responded to interrogatories, and  
20 have searched for and provided responsive documents. Thus far, Plaintiff has  
21 produced approximately 1,550 pages of documents in response to Defendants'  
22 requests for production, and Defendants have produced approximately 32,000  
23 pages of documents in response to Plaintiff's requests for production.
- 24 • No party has conducted any depositions, as the Parties previously stipulated to  
25 complete the production of documents prior to scheduling depositions. (ECF  
26 Nos. 91-92).

1 WHEREAS, Plaintiff represents that he has completed his document production in  
2 response to existing discovery requests by Defendants, subject to any and all objections and  
3 Plaintiff's right to supplement under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

4 WHEREAS, Defendants are continuing to review their records to search for documents  
5 that are responsive to existing discovery requests by Plaintiff, and Defendants' document  
6 production continues to progress on a rolling basis, but has been delayed in part due to  
7 Defendants' need to work with a document processing vendor, and in part due to the need to  
8 canvass multiple possible document custodians and data sources (e.g., emails, home drives,  
9 messaging applications), and translate hundreds of documents that are in Japanese to determine  
10 their relevance. Through this process, Defendants have identified and are in the process of  
11 reviewing an additional approximately 7,000 pages of documents that are potentially responsive  
12 to Plaintiff's discovery requests. Defendants plan to complete that review and their existing  
13 document production in response to existing discovery requests by Plaintiff, subject to any and  
14 all objections and Defendants' right to supplement under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,  
15 by no later than January 15, 2025.

16 WHEREAS, Plaintiff maintains that he has identified several gaps in Defendants'  
17 productions including the following categories that may contain additional responsive materials:  
18 (1) custodians other than the Defendants; (2) additional accounts held by Defendants', including  
19 email accounts; (3) certain Google Drive materials; (4) SMS, Whatsapp, Chatwork, and other  
20 text messages; (5) certain financial statements; and (6) further documents or communications  
21 that may be relevant to Bitclub network claims. While Defendants maintain that the breadth of  
22 the information and search parameters that Plaintiff seeks is extensive, time consuming and  
23 costly for Defendants to search for relevant information, Defendants have agreed to search these  
24 sources subject to all objections, with the Parties reserving all rights.

25 WHEREAS, the parties have engaged in several meet and confer conferences in recent  
26 weeks to discuss the scope of discovery and to try to resolve discovery disputes without court  
27 involvement. It is the Parties hope that they can continue to be effective in resolving further  
28

1 discovery disputes without court involvement.

2 WHEREAS, the remaining discovery that remains to be completed consists primarily of:

- 3
- 4 • Scheduling a number of depositions once the current document production is
  - 5 complete, at least one of which involves a foreign national residing abroad that
  - 6 will present logistical hurdles such as arranging for travel and translators.
  - 7 • Evaluating a need for, and conducting, any additional document discovery from
  - 8 third parties, either through informal request or subpoenas. The Parties are in the
  - 9 process of evaluating the scope of documents and other information obtained thus
  - 10 far to determine whether any further third party discovery will be necessary.
  - 11 • Evaluating the need for any motions to compel.
  - 12 • Further evaluating which topics may benefit from expert discovery – a process
  - 13 that will be more efficient and certain once fact discovery is completed – and
  - 14 retaining experts and preparing initial expert disclosures.

15 WHEREAS, and for the foregoing reasons, the Parties believe that this case involves a  
16 number of complex and complicating factors that have impacted the progress of the case and  
17 discovery thus far, and which warrant an additional extension of the current discovery deadlines.  
18 Additionally, allowing the Parties more time to complete fact discovery – including depositions –  
19 and expert discovery will help facilitate settlement discussions by allowing the parties to better  
20 assess the relative strengths and weaknesses of their respective positions. The Parties have  
21 already engaged in preliminary discussions about engaging in mediation.

22 IT IS ACCORDINGLY STIPULATED, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1 and 6-2, that:

- 23
- 24 1. Fact discovery shall be completed by April 2, 2025;
  - 25 2. Initial expert disclosures shall be made no later than April 30, 2025. Rebuttal expert
  - 26 disclosures shall be made no later than May 30, 2025;
  - 27 3. The last day for filing dispositive motions shall be July 3, 2025;
  - 28 4. The joint pretrial order shall be due no later than July 30, 2025, unless dispositive
  - motions are pending on that date in which case the due date for the joint pretrial order

1 is automatically extended to 30 days after a decision is issued on such motions.

2  
3  
4 DATED: December 2, 2024

**CLYDE SNOW & SESSIONS**

5 By: /s/Timothy R. Pack  
Timothy R. Pack

6 Attorneys for Defendants  
7 SUNDAY GROUP INCORPORATED,  
8 SGI TRUST, TOSHIKI (TODD) MITSUISHI  
AND JAMES PACK

9  
10 DATED: December 2, 2024

**FENWICK & WEST**

11 By: /s/Casey O'Neil  
12 Casey O'Neill  
(electronically signed with permission)

13 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
14 TETSUYA NAKAMURA

15  
16 **ORDER**

17 IT IS SO ORDERED:

18   
19 THE HONORABLE ELAYNA J. YOUCHAH  
20 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

21  
22 DATED: December 3, 2024